

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. BOX 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/368,828	08/05/1999	ALEXANDER MASHINSKY	9118-037	5985
7	590 06/10/2003			
Arbinet-theexchange, Inc. 75 Broad Street 20th Floor New York, NY 10004			EXAMINER	
			TIEU, BINH KIEN	
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
ŕ			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2643	17
			DATE MAILED: 06/10/2003	ι /

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

SV

.,		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/368,828	MASHINSKY ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		BINH K. TIEU	2643			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any I	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS frocause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 N</u>	1av 2003				
2a)□		s action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
Dispositi	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>t</i> ion of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.			
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-27,38-73 and 75-77 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-27 and 38-64</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>65-73, 75-77</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers	election requirement.				
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Burd see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	ty documents have been receiveau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ved in this National Stage			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language provice the comment is made of a claim for domestice.	visional application has been re	ceived.			
Attachment						
2) 🔲 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			
S Patent and Tre	1					

Application/Control Number: 09/368,828

Art Unit: 2643

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 65- are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Walker (U.S. Pat. #: 5,794,207) in view of Taylor et al. (U.S. Pat. #: 5,790,642)(Both references were cited in the previous Office Action(s)).

Regarding claim 65, Walker teaches a goods and/or service sale system as shown in figure 1, comprising:

- a plurality of sellers (i.e., seller interfaces 300);
- a plurality of buyers (i.e., buyer interfaces 400);
- a secure network site connected to a server node (i.e., central controller 200) for receiving sell and purchase orders from the sellers and buyers, respectively, for goods and/or services (i.e., conditional purchase offer (CPO) are inputted by buyers and sells are inputted by sellers, col.17, line 48 col.29; also note that seller database contains sellers' information such as type of services provided by sellers, such information are provided by the sellers when they registered, col.13, lines 10-22);

for each sell order, means for verifying the seller's goods or service parameters (col.19, lines 29-45);

Application/Control Number: 09/368,828

Art Unit: 2643

a database for storing sell and purchase orders (i.e., purchase confirmation database 270 storing sellers' responses, col.19, lines 49-54; database 265 for storing CPOs of buyers, col.17, lines 48-56; or other confirmation databases, col.13, lines 40-49); and

means for identifying a seller's service or goods that automatically satisfied a buyer's service purchase order (i.e., central controller 200 receives a seller response among the plurality of sellers. It should be understood that the central controller 20 identifies a seller providing seller response, based on extracted seller's ID, and automatically binding the seller response to one of the plurality of received CPOs that satisfies a buyer's service purchase order, col.19, lines 30-45).

It should be noticed that Walker teaches the method of trading goods and/or services including Airline tickets, Hotel services, Car Insurance services, etc. as stated above. Walker fails to teach such method of trading in a telecommunications environment. However, it should be noticed that Walker teaches bids and their negotiations are transmitted via any type of communications means such as fax, telephone, Internet, E-mail, etc. It is well-known by those skilled in the art to realize that competitive telecommunications services are provided by different telecommunications service providers with different offering rates that allowed caller to select the best service provider to route his or her call such as taught by Taylor et al. ("Taylor"). Taylor teaches a competitively bidding service centers and a method for trading telecommunications services or for providing a telecommunications sale. Taylor teaches that the center 102 as shown in figure 1 provides services to its subscribers such as fax machines 113a connected to it (col.4, lines 41-49). Taylor further teaches that the center 102 acts as a "service node" or "broker" that receives service requests from the fax machines 113a and formatted into

Application/Control Number: 09/368,828

Art Unit: 2643

bid requests included parameters of telephone number of destination terminal, length of call, time, and other cost factors or required information (col.4, lines 60-67) for a purpose of bidding the lowest cost of a telecommunication service.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the use of method of bidding for telecommunication services, as taught by Taylor, in place of the bidding for trading goods and services, into view of Walker, in order to provide benefit of telecommunication service users on offered telecommunication services.

Regarding claim 66, Walker further teaches the limitations of the claim in the figure 1, central controller 200.

Regarding claims 67 and 68, Walker further teaches the limitations of the claims in col.23, lines 20-25.

Regarding claims 69 and 70, Walker further teaches the limitations of the claims in col.19, lines 29-37 that the seller is an airline which is not a telecommunications carrier.

Regarding claim 71, Walker further teaches that when the CPO is received from the user as requested, if the available credit on the buyer's credit card is sufficient, the CPO is approved and a unique tracking number is added to the CPO, col.17, lines 27-51; col.28, lines 5-8.

Otherwise, the CPO is rejected and returned to the buyer.

Regarding claims 72-73, Walker further teaches the limitations of the claims in col.16, lines 46-51.

Application/Control Number: 09/368,828 Page 5

Art Unit: 2643

1. Claims 75-77 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Walker et al. (U.S. Pat. #: 5,794,207) in view of Taylor et al. (U.S. Pat. #: 5,790,642) as applied to claim 65 above, and further in view of Coyle (U.S. Pat. #: 6,269,157 also cited in the previous Office Action).

Regarding claims 75-77, Walker and Taylor, in combination, teaches all subject matters as claimed above, except for class of service including levels of quality for each service, etc. assigned by sellers (telecommunications carriers) and requested by buyers. However, Coyle teaches such feature in col.2, line 64 - col.3, line 4; col.7, lines 33-36; col.27, lines 9-20; also see dependent claims 8, 25 and 46 of the Patent.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the use of the telecommunications service request with specified class of service from buyer and offered from sellers, as taught by Coyle, into view of Walker and Taylor, in order to complete calls to geographic regions with satisfied routing service and to avoid telecommunications traffic congestion at the time.

Allowable Subject Matter

2. Claims 1-27 and 38-64 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 5/6/2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 09/368,828 Page 6

Art Unit: 2643

In response to Applicant's arguments stated from line 16 through line 20, page 2 in his remarks wherein the Applicant stated that as following:

"...the '090 patent does not disclose or suggest a network that receives both purchase and sell orders...and a means for matching the sell and purchase orders..."

The Examiner respectfully disagrees with the Applicant's arguments as stated above.

Walker '090 teaches a conditional purchase offer (CPO) management system that receives CPOs from buyers wherein the CPOs are read on "purchase orders". The CPOs are forwarded to service providers such as carriers. The CPO management system thereafter awaits acceptance by a service provider such as an interexchange carrier. Thus, the acceptance is clearly read on "sale order" issued by the service provider. Therefore, the CPO management system receives both purchase and sell orders. It further noticed that the CPO management system processes, in real time, thousands of CPOs. Consequently, CPO management system should inherently match the thousand(s) of CPOs from buyers with the thousands of acceptances issued by service providers. As discussed several times in telephone interviews with previous Attorney during the prosecutions in the parent case, the Examiner readily pointed out that the claims fails to recite the limitations of "receiving said sale orders, by said server node, before receiving said purchase orders" for a purpose of overcoming the cited prior art. However, the Applicant has failed to do so. Therefore, the teachings of the cited prior art of record read on such features.

In response to the Applicant's arguments stated in the third paragraph, page 2 in his remarks wherein the Applicant stated as following:

"...the '090 patent (filed on September 04, 1997) has a later filing date than that of the parent application of the present application..."

The Examiner respectfully agrees with the Applicant's correct indication above.

However, It is noted that the Walker '090 is Continuation-in-part of application No. 08/889,319,

'Application/Control Number: 09/368,828

Art Unit: 2643

filed on July 08, 1997 having filing date earlier than the filing date of the parent application of

Page 7

the present application. Walker '090 discloses the CPO management system to be applied as the

same as one disclosed in the application No. 08/889,319, now having US Patent No. 5,790,642

("'Walker '642"). Walker '090 further teaches the CPO management system is also applied for

bidding for services in telecommunications network environment. Therefore, the Examiner

believes that the combination of Walker '642 and Taylor et al. in the rejection of the claims

above in this Office Action is proper and permissible in the merits.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Binh K. Tieu whose telephone number is (703) 305-3963 and

E-mail address: **BINH.TIEU@USPTO.GOV.**

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Mr. Curtis Kuntz, can be reached on (703) 305-4708 and Customer Service

(703) 306-0377.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal

Drive, and Arlington. VA. Sixth Floor (Receptionist, tel. No. 703-305-4700).

BINH TIEU

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Art Unit 2643

Date: June 06, 2003